


FTMBA17 MBM409 Block 4 Corporate Finance (MBM409)

Response rate = 43.2% (32 of 74)




Overall indicators

Global Index



Assessment and Feedback (Scale width: 5)




Library Resources (Scale width: 5)



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. For this member of staff


1.1) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor is good at explaining things and has helped me understand the module



1.2) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor has made the module interesting



1.3) The teaching on this module has been of a high standard.



2. My progress on this Module (assessment and feedback)

2.1) I understand the assessment criteria and what is required of me to perform well in this module



2.2) I have received helpful comments on my progress and/ or work I have submitted



2.3) Staff have been available to respond to my queries about the module and/ or my work



3. For this Module


3.1) This module is well organised and is running smoothly



3.2) I have been able to access the library resources I need for this module




3.3) The Moodle resources for this module have supported my learning



3.4) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



3.5) Ethical issues were appropriately covered in this module



Profile

Subunit: **Cass PG Online**
 Name of the instructor: **Meziane Lasfer**
 Name of the course: **FTMBA17 MBM409 Block 4 Corporate Finance**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. For this member of staff

1.1) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor is good at explaining things and has helped me understand the module	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
1.2) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor has made the module interesting	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.3) The teaching on this module has been of a high standard.	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5

2. My progress on this Module (assessment and feedback)

2.1) I understand the assessment criteria and what is required of me to perform well in this module	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
2.2) I have received helpful comments on my progress and/ or work I have submitted	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=30	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
2.3) Staff have been available to respond to my queries about the module and/ or my work	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=31	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6

3. For this Module

3.1) This module is well organised and is running smoothly	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
3.2) I have been able to access the library resources I need for this module	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=31	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.7
3.3) The Moodle resources for this module have supported my learning	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
3.4) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=32	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
3.5) Ethical issues were appropriately covered in this module	Definitely disagree								Definitely agree	n=30	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.7

Comments Report

4. Free Text Questions

4.1) What are the best features of this module?

- Detailed elaborations on each session
- He was able to take a very complicated topic and make it both understandable and interesting. Each lecture built well on the last, culminating in the valuation model we covered in the final lecture, which was very well explained.
- Practical example
- Prof Lasfer tries to incorporate as much as possible from current events into the learning, making the module very practical and applicable.
- The lecturer
- The professor is diligent and give many real - world cases.
- The professor is one of the best!
He made a difficult course easy to understand!
Very responsible!
- The professor tried to connect theories with real business cases which allowed us to learn practically and motivate ourselves to understand the module.
- very experienced teacher who cares about if he made himself well understood

4.2) How could this module be improved?

- A little bit more knowledge on this subject
- Better time management
- I was expecting a more numerical approach to the subject while the delivery has been very theoretical except for the last lecture.
- Nothing to change!
- The lecturer needs to work on the timing of classes as he often ran over.
- The pace of the lectures was sometimes difficult to keep up with.

Additionally, the feedback from the professor during Integration Week was particularly harsh and critical, and his expectations were apparently not well communicated to the groups. Without seeing the marks from I.W., it is difficult to say whether this feedback was constructive or simply punitive, but it was frustrating nonetheless.

- The professor might have prepared slides instead of handwriting in the class.

4.3) Can you provide an example of an ethical issue you thought was well covered or you thought could be appropriately covered in this module?

- N/A
- NA